

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date: 11-24-2020 Inspector: [Signature]

Time: 8:30 Weather Conditions: Cloudy 42

|  |  | Yes | No | Notes |
|--|--|-----|----|-------|
| <b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>  |  |     |    |       |
| 1.   | Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  |     | ✓  |       |
| 2.   | Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? |     | ✓  |       |
| 3.   | Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.      |     | ✓  |       |
| <b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b> |  |     |    |       |
| 4.   | Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.   |     | ✓  |       |
| 5.   | Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?   |     |    |       |
| 6.   | If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?         |     |    |       |
| 7.   | Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  |     |    |       |
| 8.   | Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.   |     |    |       |
| 9.   | Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.   |     |    |       |
| 10.  | Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question  |     |    |       |
| 11.  | Were the citizen complaints logged?  |     |    |       |

Additional Notes:

---



---



---

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SIZE LANSING LANDFILL

Date: 12-1-2020 Inspector: [Signature]

Time: 9:00 Weather Conditions: Sunny 45

|  |  | Yes | No                                  | Notes |
|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|-------|
| <b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.84)</b>  |  |     |                                     |       |
| 1.   | Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  |     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |       |
| 2.   | Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? |     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |       |
| 3.   | Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.      |     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |       |
| <b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.80(b)(4))</b> |  |     |                                     |       |
| 4.   | Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.   |     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |       |
| 5.   | Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?   |     |                                     |       |
| 6.   | If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?         |     |                                     |       |
| 7.   | Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  |     |                                     |       |
| 8.   | Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.   |     |                                     |       |
| 9.   | Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.   |     |                                     |       |
| 10.  | Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question  |     |                                     |       |
| 11.  | Were the citizen complaints logged?  |     |                                     |       |

Additional Notes:

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date: 12-8-2020

Inspector: [Signature]

Time: 11:30

Weather Conditions:

Overcast 45

|  |  | Yes | No | Notes |
|--|--|-----|----|-------|
| <b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>  |  |     |    |       |
| 1.   | Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  |     |    |       |
| 2.   | Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? |     |    |       |
| 3.   | Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.      |     |    |       |
| <b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b> |  |     |    |       |
| 4.   | Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.   |     |    |       |
| 5.   | Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?   |     |    |       |
| 6.   | If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?         |     |    |       |
| 7.   | Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  |     |    |       |
| 8.   | Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.   |     |    |       |
| 9.   | Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.   |     |    |       |
| 10.  | Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question  |     |    |       |
| 11.  | Were the citizen complaints logged?  |     |    |       |

Additional Notes:

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SKB LANSING LANDFILL

Date: 12-15-2020 Inspector: [Signature]

Time: 11:12 Weather Conditions: cool 28

|  |  | Yes | No                                  | Notes |
|--|--|-----|-------------------------------------|-------|
| <b>CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84)</b>  |  |     |                                     |       |
| 1.   | Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?  |     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |       |
| 2.   | Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? |     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |       |
| 3.   | Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.      |     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |       |
| <b>CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))</b> |  |     |                                     |       |
| 4.   | Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.   |     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |       |
| 5.   | Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppressants) prior to delivery to landfill?   |     |                                     |       |
| 6.   | If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptible to fugitive dust generation?         |     |                                     |       |
| 7.   | Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?  |     |                                     |       |
| 8.   | Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.   |     |                                     |       |
| 9.   | Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.   |     |                                     |       |
| 10.  | Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question  |     |                                     |       |
| 11.  | Were the citizen complaints logged?  |     |                                     |       |

Additional Notes: